| 1 | BEFORE THE |
|----|---|
| 2 | ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION |
| 3 | PUBLIC UTILITIES SPECIAL OPEN MEETING |
| 4 | Wednesday, December 9, 2020 |
| 5 | Chicago, Illinois |
| 6 | |
| 7 | Met pursuant to notice via videoconference |
| 8 | at 11:30 a.m. at 160 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, |
| 9 | Illinois. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | PRESENT: |
| 12 | CARRIE ZALEWSKI, Chairwoman (via Chicago Office) |
| 13 | D. ETHAN KIMBREL, Commissioner (via Chicago Office) |
| 14 | SADZI M. OLIVA, Commissioner (via videoconference) |
| 15 | MARIA S. BOCANEGRA, Commissioner (via videoconference) |
| 16 | MICHAEL T. CARRIGAN, Commissioner (via Springfield Office) |
| 17 | (Via Springriera Orrice) |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | BRIDGES COURT REPORTING |
| 22 | BY: Michael J. Duffy, CER Notary Public |

1 MS. ZALEWSKI: Under the Open Meetings Act 2 and in accordance with the Governor's Executive 3 Orders, I call the December 9th, 2020 Special Open 4 Meeting to order. 5 Before we proceed, and for clarity 6 of the record, I would ask everyone to say their 7 name before speaking and to speak slowly and 8 clearly so that the court reporter can capture 9 everything that is said. 10 I have already checked. All five 11 commissioners are on the line. We have a quorum. 12 We have no requests to speak. 13 We have no transportation agenda. 14 We have three items on our Public 15 Utilities Agenda. 16 Item 1 concerns Docket 20-0308, which is Ameren Illinois proposed general increase 17 18 in rates and revisions to other terms and 19 conditions for gas services. On December 1st, Ameren filed a 20 21 request for oral arguments in its brief on 22 exceptions. Ameren requests the opportunity to

1 present oral arguments on the two issues. First, 2 the just and reasonable return on equity, and 3 second, the adjusted reasonable common equity ratio to support Ameren's gas operations in the 2021 test 4 5 year, and beyond. 6 Under Section 9-201(c) of the 7 Public Utilities Act, Ameren must be given the 8 opportunity to present oral argument, if requested, 9 no later than the date for filing exceptions on the 10 propriety of any proposed rate or other charged 11 classification, contract, practice rule, or 12 regulation. 13 In addition to these issues 14 specified by Ameren, the Commission would also like 15 to ask that the parties be ready to discuss the 16 advertising issue, voucher 3637279. 17 Are there any objections to 18 granting the request for oral argument? 19 (No verbal response.) 20 MS. ZALEWSKI: Hearing none. The request is 21 The notice of the date and time of the granted. 22 oral argument will be served on the parties

```
1
    shortly.
2.
                    Item 2 is Docket 20-0381, which is
3
    Ameren's Rate MAP-P Modernization Action Plan,
4
    Pricing Annual Update Filing. The order approves
5
    the proposed update subject to the conclusions and
6
    adjustments specified in the order and its
7
    appendices. The order authorizes Ameren to earn a
8
    6.358 percent rate of return for the 2019
9
    reconciliation year, and 6.393 percent for the 2020
10
    filing year on the net original costs rate base.
11
    This rate of return incorporates a return on common
12
    equity of 8.312 percent for 2019, and 8.382 percent
13
    for 2020. This results in base rate electric
14
   delivery service operating revenues of about $961
15
   million, reflecting the reconciliation and ROE
16
    collar adjustments in the annual operating income
    of about 218 million as shown in the appendices.
17
18
                    Before we take the vote, are there
19
    any comments?
20
                    (No verbal response.)
21
                         I have a couple of comments.
          MS. ZALEWSKI:
22
                                   When the Commission
    When Ameren -- or excuse me.
```

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

essential services.

reached it's decision in the December 2019 FRU
Docket, the world was very different and the

circumstances surrounding ratepayers were

4 drastically different, too. The COVID-19 pandemic

⁵ brought extraordinary challenges to ratepayers.

The Commission has implemented landmark protections
to help residents remain connected to their

As a result, We know that within the next two to five years, we may face rate cases due to climbing amounts of uncollectibles and bill payment arrangements. That will have to be borne by ratepayers, increasing the rates in the upcoming years in the ways that we can't yet fully predict. The AG and IIEC argue that mounting uncollectibles fundamentally require the Commission to consider using this hung as a backdrop against detrimental impacts on rates.

However, the data in the record to which we are bound prevent such findings. I believe the record doesn't provide enough data for us to make a meaningful determination and a fully

informed decision to change our previous order on the EDIT amortization timeline. At the same time, while I agree with the majority that the evidence in the record did not provide justification for the Commission to revert its decision, I disagree with the majority opinion that a drastic change of circumstances that the COVID-19 pandemic brought, with the impact it will have on the ratepayer, is not enough to reconsider the Commission's earlier decisions.

I believe that COVID-19 impacts do rise to the level of "meaningful change," and if the record provided more data to allow for a more informed determination on these impact rates, I may have come to a different conclusion. Therefore, I concur with the majority decision. I am going to file a written concurrent later today.

Okay. I am now going to call the vote on the approval of the order. If you are in favor of approving the order, please say, "aye," and if you are opposed, please say, "nay."

Commissioner Bocanegra?

```
1
                          Sorry. I was unmuting
          MS. BOCANEGRA:
2
   myself. Aye.
3
          MS. ZALEWSKI: Commissioner Carrigan?
4
          MR. CARRIGAN: Aye.
5
          MS. ZALEWSKI: Commissioner Kimbrel?
6
          MR. KIMBREL: Aye.
7
          MS. ZALEWSKI: Commissioner Oliva?
          MS. OLIVA:
                      Aye.
9
          MS. ZALEWSKI: I vote aye as well. But Madam
10
   Clerk, please indicate that I will be filing a
11
    concurrent. So the five aye's have it, and the
12
    order is approved.
13
                    Item 3 is the Docket Number
14
    20-0393, which is ComEd's petition to Initiate
15
   Annual Formula Rate Update and Revenue Requirement
16
   Reconciliation under Section 16-108.5 of the Public
17
   Utilities Act. The order approves the proposed
18
   update subject to the conclusions and adjustments
19
    specified in the order and its appendices.
20
    order authorizes ComEd to earn a 6.28 percent rate
21
    of return for the 2019 reconciliation year and the
22
    2021 rate year initial revenue requirement.
```

```
1
                    These rates of return incorporate a
2
    return on common equity of 8.3 percent in each
3
           This results in base rate electric delivery
   year.
4
    service operating revenues of about 2,666 million
5
    and net annual operating income of about 500, or
6
    excuse me, 757 million as shown in the appendices.
7
                    Before we take the vote, I will
8
    just, you know, I note the same concerns and stance
    that I've already said on the amortization of
10
   unprotected properties related EDIT, as I mentioned
11
    in the Ameren FRU case. I'm not going to restate
12
    those concerns now, but I will be filing a
13
    concurrence in this docket as well, later today.
14
                    Are there any other comments?
15
                    (No verbal response.)
16
          MS. ZALEWSKI: Okay. So I will now call the
17
   vote for the approval of the order. If you are in
18
    favor of approving the order, please say, "aye."
19
   And if you are opposed, please say, "nay.".
20
                    Commissioner Bocanegra?
21
          MS. BOCANEGRA:
                          Aye.
22
          MS. ZALEWSKI: Commissioner Carrigan?
```

```
1
          MR. CARRIGAN: Aye.
2.
          MS. ZALEWSKI: Commissioner Kimbrel?
3
          MR. KIMBREL: Aye.
          MS. ZALEWSKI: Commissioner Oliva?
4
5
          MS. OLIVA:
                      Aye.
6
          MS. ZALEWSKI: I'm an aye as well, with a
7
    concurrence to be filed. So the five ayes have it,
8
    and the order is approved. This concludes our
   Public Utilities Agenda.
9
10
                    Judge Teague Kingsley, do we have
11
    other matters to come before the Commission today?
12
          THE COURT: No, Madam Chairman.
13
          MS. ZALEWSKI: Do any of the commissioners
14
   have any other business to discuss?
15
                     (No verbal response.)
16
          MS. ZALEWSKI: Hearing none and seeing --
17
   without objection, this meeting is adjourned.
18
    Thank you.
19
                     (Whereupon the above-entitled
20
                     matter was adjourned at
21
                     11:39 a.m.)
22
```